

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,062	01/09/2001	Barry D. Kurtz	10003913-1 6607	
75	01/06/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			PHAM, THOMAS K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/757,062	KURTZ, BARRY D.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Thomas K Pham	2121				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on th cov r sh t with the c	orrespond nc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ctober 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate datent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/757,062 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

Respond to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,062 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,694,482 ("Arellano").

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15

Arellano teaches posting a document comprising:

- (a) gathering frequently used delivery instructions for a particular user (col. 9 lines 13-18, "A sensor is used to capture ... at their respective sources");
- (b) associating the frequently used delivery instructions with the particular user (col. 9 lines 1-5, "In effect, the user modelling ... the resulting user data");
- (c) launching an interactive delivery interface (col. 10 lines 44-49, "Concrete presentations are either ... that appear on the display");
- (d) identifying the particular user (col. 9 lines 26-31, "A user's preference and taste ... provide the interaction data");
- (e) presenting, for selection, the frequently used delivery instructions associated with the particular user (col. 9 line 64 to col. 10 line 3, "The process of selecting ... the memory based learning scheme"); and,
- (f) posting the document according to selected frequently used delivery instructions (col. ").

Regarding claims 2 and 9

Arellano teaches gathering frequently used delivery instructions for the particular user includes iteratively gathering frequently used delivery instructions for the particular user (col. 9 lines 19-21, "A sensor knows how often ... into user profile data").

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 16

Art Unit: 2121

Arellano teaches presenting the frequently used delivery instructions includes displaying favorite delivery instructions (col. 9 lines 26-31, "A user's preference and taste ... provide the interaction data").

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 17

Arellano teaches presenting the frequently used delivery instructions includes displaying a list of frequently used delivery instructions (col. 8 lines 12-19, "The applications framework ...

presentations of complex applications").

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 18

Arellano teaches the delivery instructions include destinations (col. 10 lines 62-67, "Style context are rules ... browser, modem speed, etc." [one of the destinations is to delivery a personalized TV program schedule presentation]).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 19

Arellano teaches the delivery instructions include delivery systems and methods (col. 38, claim 1 is a method and col. 40 claim 8 is a system).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20

Arellano teaches the delivery instructions include delivery method and system specific options (col. 38, claim 1 is specific options of a method and col. 40 claim 8 is specific options of a system).

Application/Control Number: 09/757,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thomas Pham*; whose telephone number is (571) 272-3689, Monday - Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor *Mr. Anthony Knight* at (571) 272-3687.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax number (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas PhamPatent Examiner

December 27, 2004

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600